

# Gender Patterns in Vietnam's Child Mortality

Thong Le Pham, Cantho University  
Peter Kooreman, Tilburg University  
Ruud H. Koning, University of Groningen  
Doede Wiersma, University of Groningen

February 2011  
Revised November 2011 \*

## Abstract

We analyze child mortality in Vietnam focusing on gender aspects. Contrary to several other countries in the region, mortality rates for boys are substantially larger than for girls. The mortality rate of boys appears to be more sensitive to parents' education levels than the mortality rate of girls. A high education level of the father is particularly protective for boys. The rural-urban mortality difference in the raw data, which is particularly large for boys, can be fully explained by differences in observable characteristics of urban and rural households.

**Keywords:** Child mortality; gender differences; hazard rate; frailty model.

**JEL classification:** C13, C31, C35, C41, I12

---

\*Correspondence to [p.kooreman@uvt.nl](mailto:p.kooreman@uvt.nl). We thank two anonymous referees for helpful comments.

# 1 Introduction

Researchers in demography, public health, and population economics have documented the remarkably low child mortality levels in Vietnam compared to other countries in the same region with similar income levels; see e.g. Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999) and Wagstaff and Nga (2002). Previous studies also reported large persistent differences between child mortality rates in rural versus urban areas. Work by O'Donnell et al. (2009) and others suggest that these differences are related to rural-urban gaps in nutritional status, income, and parental education.

While most researchers have allowed for possible gender differences in mortality rates by including a gender dummy in their empirical models, gender patterns have not been a central research question.<sup>1</sup> Wagstaff and Nga (2002) do not find a significant gender coefficient in a Weibull survival model estimated using the 1993 and 1998 Vietnam Living Standards Survey (VLSS). Dao (2006) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999), on the other hand, report higher mortality rates for men at all ages.

The present paper scrutinizes gender differences in Vietnam's child mortality rates using a sample with information on close to 30,000 births. We use econometric models that control for unobserved heterogeneity at the family and community level and allow for parametric flexibility. We estimate models separately for boys and girls to allow the effects of covariates to be different across genders.

Our empirical results show some remarkable gender patterns. Contrary to several other countries in the region, we find a 30 percent higher overall mortality rate for boys. The mortality rate of boys appears to be more sensitive to parents' education levels than the mortality rate of girls. A

---

<sup>1</sup>Nguyen and Feeny (1999) use a logistic discrete-time model and data from the Vietnam Demographic and Health survey 1988. O'Donnell et al. (2009) use quantile regression models and data from the 1993 and 1998 Vietnam Living Standards Surveys. Both papers focus on urban-rural differences, but do not consider gender effects.

high education level of the father is particularly protective for boys. The rural-urban mortality difference in the raw data, which is particularly large for boys, can be fully explained by differences in observable characteristics of urban and rural households.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 reviews developments in the Vietnamese society and health care institutions that are relevant for understanding child mortality. Section 3 describes the data, while details of the econometric analysis are provided in section 4. Section 5 presents the empirical results, and section 6 concludes.

## 2 The Vietnamese context

After the reunification of the country in 1975, Vietnam witnessed a boom in the construction of health infrastructures and the training of health workers. By 1995, the Vietnam primary health care network covered 93% of the communes around the country. As Table 1 shows, in 1995 infant mortality rate was around 32‰, much lower than in Bangladesh (83‰), Indonesia (48‰), and China (37‰). Other health indicators also compared favorably with other countries in South-East Asia. With all health indicators showing strong improvements between 1995 and 2000 in all countries, Vietnam's retained its top ranking.

Under the central planning economy most national health services were provided free of charge or at highly subsidized prices. However, these circumstances changed profoundly upon the introduction of *Doi Moi* (reform) policy, which was introduced in 1986 and reinforced in 1989. While *Doi Moi* increased average living standards, it also increased inequality across social strata; see e.g. Wagstaff and Nga (2002). The early years of the transition to a market-oriented economy entailed a decline of health services in terms of health service delivery, equipment maintenance, and health workers' salaries. User fee charges for health care severely restricted access to

health services for low-income households.

Facing the deterioration of the primary health care system and the rapid population growth in the late 1980s, the Vietnamese government formulated strategies to renew the health care system and family planning programs. Up to 2000, more than ten national health care programs and projects were implemented, including the leprosy elimination program (1982), National Tuberculosis Control (1986), National Malaria Control (1991), the Expanded Program of Immunization (1993), Acute Respiratory Infection control and the prevention of iodine deficiency (1996), AIDS prevention, a hospital equipment upgrading program (1996). One of the effects of these programs was a strong increase in vaccination rates. The time line in figure 1 summarizes the main events and programs.

Goodkind (1995) mentions a study that suggests the existence of a boy preference in Vietnam, based on stated preferences. Any possible effect on the male/female birth ratio might have been reinforced by a birth control policy implemented in 1998 that calls for a maximum of “one or two children”. However, as shown in the next section, the fraction of male births in our sample is very close to the natural male birth probability.

### **3 Data and descriptive statistics**

Most of the studies on child mortality in Vietnam have used the 1988 Vietnam Demographic and Health Survey (VNDHS), the 1990 Vietnam Accessibility of Contraceptives Survey (with data on children born between 1983 and 1990), and the 1993 and 1998 Vietnam Living Standards Survey (VLSS).

Our empirical analysis is based on more recent versions of the VNDHS: 1997 and 2002.<sup>2</sup> Both surveys are nationally-representative household sur-

---

<sup>2</sup>The surveys were conducted by The National Committee for Population, Family and Children - NCFPFC (formerly NCFPPF) and the General Statistics Office (GSO), with technical assistance from ORC Macro, a professional service firm in Washington, DC, USA.

veys. Data were collected at the level of individual ever-married women at reproductive ages (15-49 years old; 5,664 in 1997 and 5,665 in 2002), and at the community level. The main objectives of the surveys were to collect detailed information on fertility, family planning, maternal and child health and survival indicators, as well as personal characteristics of the women such as their age, education, place of residence, working status, and their housing facilities. In VNDHSs, survey sites covered 41 provinces throughout the country. Although the repeated survey in 2002 returned to the same sample points (communities) as in the 1997 survey, the survey is not a panel at the level of individuals or households. However, it does constitute an (unbalanced) panel at the level of 205 communities.

While information on socio-economic factors refers to conditions at the survey time, information on birth history and child survival status is retrospective. Clearly, data derived from retrospective reporting could be subject to (time varying) measurement error. It should also be noted that our data is not a representative sample of the cohorts born between 1961 and 2002. The mothers with the earliest birth years in our data are those who were 49 in 1997, i.e with birth years 1947 or 1948. This implies that the children in our sample from birth year 1961 had very young mothers. This is likely to somewhat overestimate the mortality rates in the earlier years in figure 2. In our econometric analysis, this problem is less of a concern, as we will control for the mother's age at birth when explaining mortality. We will perform a robustness check by reestimating the models using children born to mothers from younger cohorts only.

From the complete birth history of sampled women, we have information on 29,900 live births to 10,734 mothers (there are 595 women who do not report any live births). The year of birth of the children spans from 1961 to 2002. During this relatively long time span Vietnam has witnessed profound changes in terms of its political system, socio-economic conditions,

and health services. Table 2 shows the distribution of mothers by number of births and deaths. On average, a mother gives 2.79 live births. Almost half of the mothers have 3 or more children and about 7% have more than five. The 1,721 children who died account for 5.8% of the live births. The number of deaths is incurred by only 13% of the mothers. Only about 2.5% of mothers have experienced the loss of more than one child, but these deaths account for about 37% of total deaths. So, there is clear evidence of clustering of deaths within households. This may be related to observed as well as unobserved mother-specific factors that induce a correlation between survival probabilities of the children of a given mother (sometimes referred to as 'frailty').

Figure 1 graphs the developments of the overall child mortality rate in our data, and shows a strong and persistent decline over the past decades. It also shows that mortality rates for boys have been higher in almost all years. This contrasts the pattern in several other countries in Asia, in particular India and China, in which girl mortality rates exceed boy mortality rates; see for example Van der Klaauw and Wang (2011) and data from the World Health Organization (<http://www.who.int/healthinfo/morttables/en>). Figure 2 plots the ratio of the rural to urban mortality rates, for boys and girls separately. The rural-urban ratios exceed 1 for both genders in virtually all years, in line with earlier findings. According to the present data set mortality rates are about 75 percent higher in rural compared to urban Vietnam. This difference is large. For example, Poel et al. (2009), who analyze a cross-section of Western Sub-Saharan countries, find an average difference of 47 percent. Second, the rural-urban differences for boys appear to be substantially larger than for girls, in some years even 5 to 10 times larger.

Table 3 reports additional descriptive statistics, while figure 4 shows the kernel-smoothed baseline hazard curve over survival time. In general, children suffer from high risk of mortality early after birth, especially during

the first month that represents more than 40% of total deaths. The hazard rate then declines sharply over time and then becomes small and more or less constant after the fifth year.

## 4 Empirical Methods

Mosley and Chen (1984) proposed an analytical framework for examining determinants of child mortality in developing countries that has been used widely. Their framework postulates that the effects of socio-economic factors on child mortality operate through a common set of biological mechanisms, or proximate determinants which are categorized into five groups: *i*) maternal factors including fertility behaviors, *ii*) environmental health conditions, *iii*) nutritional status, *iv*) injury and *v*) personal illness control. Although the framework is often referenced, only a few studies control for all the proximate determinants due to the limitations of the data. Previous studies examine the effect of fertility-related behavior such as birth interval and breastfeeding duration (Hobcraft et al. (1983), Palloni and Millman (1986), Boerma and Bicego (1992), Nath et al. (1994)), explore the causal relationship between socio-economic factors and child mortality (Trussel and Hammerslough (1983), Hobcraft et al. (1985), Guilkey and Riphahn (1998)), or do both (Van der Klaauw and Wang, 2011).

The explanatory variables in the present study are motivated by both the Mosley-Chen framework and data availability. We distinguish between five types of explanatory variables: birth characteristics, fertility behavior, socioeconomic characteristics, regional variables and time effects. Household asset score acts as proxy for household income and wealth, and is defined as the ownership of a number of durable goods such as a television, car, motorcycle, toilet facilities, etc. Each asset is assigned a weight or factor score generated through principal components analysis (Rutstein and Johnson, 2004). These scores are then used to create wealth quintiles.

Our key econometric tool for investigating the determinants of child mortality is a proportional hazard model with a semi-parametric piecewise-constant hazard rate for the intervals 0-1, 1-6, 6-12, 12-24, 24-60, and > 60 months; cf. Greene (2003).<sup>3</sup>

Children born to the same mothers are likely to share unobserved mother-specific biological and genetic factors, and unobserved household socio-economic conditions. We therefore allow for a potential correlation between the hazards of sibling by including a mother-specific random effect ('frailty') parameter. The hazard function for child  $i$  of mother  $m$  with  $I_m$  children is specified as:

$$h(t_i|v_m) = v_m h_0(t) \exp(\beta' \mathbf{X}_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, I_m. \quad (1)$$

Following Vaupel et al. (1979), we assume  $v_m$  to follow a Gamma distribution with unit mean and a finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Abbring and Van den Berg (2007) show that in a large class of hazard models with proportional unobserved heterogeneity, the distribution of unobserved heterogeneity converges to a Gamma distribution. Gutierrez (2002) provides details on estimation. The null hypothesis that  $v = 0$  can be tested using a boundary-value likelihood-ratio test based on a mixture of  $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2$  distributions; see Gutierrez et al. (2001). Clayton (1978) and Guo and Rodriguez (1992) noted an interesting interpretation of  $\sigma^2$ . The model implies that the ratio of the hazard of a child at  $t_1$  given a number of child deaths in the family, to the hypothetical hazard of the same child given no deaths in the family, is given

---

<sup>3</sup>For children who are younger than one month we take age equal to half a month. The results are very similar to those from a model that uses  $\Pr(0 < t < 1)$ . For children who die within one month after birth, the original dataset gives the time at death in days which is converted into months by dividing the time by 30. For living children, the variable survival time represents (censored) age in months at the time of the survey. For children who died before the survey, the (uncensored) survival time is defined as age at the time of death.

by:

$$\frac{h_i(t_1|t_2, \dots, t_{I_m}; \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{I_m})}{h_i(t_1|t_2, \dots, t_{I_m}; 0, \dots, 0)} = 1 + \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^{I_m} \delta_j \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta_j$  equals 1 if child  $j$  died at  $t_j$  and 0, otherwise. Hence, the hazard rate of dying increases by  $\sigma^2$  multiplied by the number of deaths. This expression provides a measure of the size of the mother-specific unobserved effects.<sup>4</sup>

## 5 Estimation results

Table 4 presents estimation results for three versions of the hazard rate model, without unobserved heterogeneity (columns 1 and 2), with unobserved heterogeneity (columns 3 and 4), and with unobserved heterogeneity and community specific fixed effects ("CFE", columns 5 and 6). Note that the estimated coefficients on the covariates hardly vary across the three versions of the model.

### *Gender*

The effect of gender - our primary interest - is large and highly significant. The coefficients imply that for boys the probability of dying before a particular age is 30 percent larger than for girls. While excess girl mortality has been found for a number of Asian countries, in particular India and China, excess male mortality rates (at all ages, including the youngest) is found in almost any society around the globe (<http://www.who.int/healthinfo/morttables/en>). The estimated gender coefficient in the Weibull model estimated by Wagstaff and Nga (2002) using the 1993 and 1998 VLSS also suggests excess boy mortality, but their coefficient is insignificant. This could be related to their smaller sample size or to the fact that they use older data (and older co-

---

<sup>4</sup>Van der Klaauw and Wang (2011) also allow for child-specific frailty, in addition to frailty at the family level. Their empirical results do not show any significant and substantial child-specific frailty.

horts). Dao (2006) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999), on the other hand, report higher mortality rates for men at all ages, as reported here. A similar result for another country in the Asian Pacific region, the Philippines, is reported by Guilkey and Riphahn (1998).

A potential explanation for the higher levels of boy mortality is related to parental gender preferences. If sex selective abortion exists, daughters actually carried to full term are more likely to be wanted, and the result may be lower postnatal discrimination. In 1999 the Vietnamese boy/girl sex ratio at birth was 106.6 [104.9] in urban [rural] areas (Blanger et al., 2003). Since this is very close to the natural sex ratio at birth (about 105), there is only very weak evidence for boy preference at the time of the VNDHS data collection.

In subsection 5.2 we investigate the gender difference in more detail. We now first discuss the effects of the other coefficients.

#### *Sibling correlations*

A mother specific random effect reflects a correlation between the risk of death among siblings and family death clustering. As expected, the estimate of  $\sigma^2$  in the model with community fixed effects is lower than in the model without. Yet, the estimate of  $\sigma^2$  in the model with community fixed effects is still substantial. It implies that each death of a child in a family increases the mortality risk of the index child by 34%, other things equal. This large effect may come from various unobserved factors like illness control behavior, parental competence, household economic status, and genetic factors. The estimate of  $\sigma^2$  varies widely across studies. While Guo (1993) estimates 0.14, using the Institute for Nutrition in Central America and Panama Survey data, Omariba et al. (2007) using the 1998 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey find a value of about 0.98.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>From table 2, it is evident that there are only a few families with very high mortality. If we exclude all families with five or more deaths, the estimated  $\sigma^2$  in the frailty model

The community fixed effects are jointly highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ), but their inclusion has little effect on the other coefficients. Since the model without community fixed effects also allows to estimate the seven coefficients of the regional variables, we focus on this version of the model in the sequel.

### *Time effects*

Table 5 presents the proportional effect of the calendar and survival time on the hazard rate. The result in the first column, first row reflects the raw data, in which about 40 percent of deaths occur during the first months after birth; cf. Lawn et al. (2005). Figure 2 already showed a strong declining time trend of mortality as a function of calendar time. The estimated coefficients on calendar time in table 4 indicate that this strong decline remains after controlling for observed explanatory variables. This indicates a substantial role for unobserved factors that are changing over time and not included in the model, like household income, technical advances in health care services, and learning effects. While it is tempting to link the calendar time effects to the policy changes reflected in figure 1, we of course cannot claim to have found any causal effects of policies.<sup>6</sup>

### *Birth order and fertility behavior*

Birth order has a strong effect on child mortality. Estimated coefficients of the first order birth and high order birth variables imply a U-shaped effect of birth order on child mortality, although high order birth is not statistically significant in the model versions with frailty. The risk for the first birth is 

---

without community fixed effects drops from 0.540 to 0.465, while all other estimates remain virtually unchanged.

<sup>6</sup>In an earlier stage of this project we attempted to link the results more closely to health policy events, in particular the Population and Family Health Project (PFHP), but were unable to establish causal effects. One problem was that about one third of the PFHP resources appeared to be spent on non-project (control) provinces. Another problem was that several other programs were implemented simultaneously, with insufficient information on timing and location.

about 33% higher than that for the other order births. This finding is similar to those found in previous studies by Swenson et al. (1993, 1995) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999), largely based on the 1988 Vietnam Demographic and Health Survey. The high risk for the first births is caused by generally more difficult deliveries at first births (*dystocia*) and the lack of experience with child-bearing and rearing. The lower risk for the later births may result from learning effects among mothers. The increased risk for higher order births might be related to maternal depletion and sibling competition with resources. The significance of high birth order in the model without frailty and insignificance in the model with frailty indicates that higher birth orders are more likely to occur in poor families with limited resources (not captured by covariates); see Horton (1988).

#### *Mother's age and birth intervals*

The literature on the effects of mother's age on child mortality shows mixed results. Swenson et al. (1993) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999), using a smaller sample for Vietnam (one fifth of the current sample size) for the birth years 1979-1988 find that mother's age at birth does not contribute to increased mortality risk of the children. However, others find significant effects for other Asian countries such as India (Nath et al., 1994) and Sri Lanka (Trussel and Hammerslough, 1983). The present results imply that a mother under age 18 faces a more than 30 percent higher risk of death of her child. A one year increase in the mother's age at first birth decreases the risk of death of her child by about 4%.

A short preceding birth interval is an important determinant of child mortality. The estimated coefficient is statistically significant and positive, and substantial in magnitude. Other things equal, the mortality risk for a birth with less than 24 months birth spacing is as much as 75% higher than

that for other non-first births.<sup>7</sup> The effect of short birth interval is likely to be related to maternal depletion and sibling competition. While the results are consistent with most of the existing literature, they differ from findings in previous studies on Vietnam that document no significant relationship between child spacing and mortality Swenson (1993) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999). The difference might be related to the profound changes in the socio-economic context during the transition in Vietnam initiated from the early 1990s. The shift from the centrally planning economy to the market economy increased working pressures on women and reduced subsidies related to childbearing and childrearing. These changes might have contributed to maternal depletion and sibling competition.

#### *Socioeconomic factors*

It has been well-documented that better parental education is associated with lower child mortality risk due to better feeding practices, illness controls and child-care; see Caldwell and McDonald (1982), Cochrane et al. (1982), Hobcraft et al. (1984), and Majumder et al. (1993). Our findings confirm this for Vietnam. The estimated coefficients are positive for illiterate parents and negative for highly educated parents, with two of the four coefficients being (highly) significant. Illiteracy of the mother harms more than illiteracy of the father, while a high paternal education reduces risk more than a high maternal education. The effects are large. For example, high parental education reduces mortality risk by about 40 percent. Our estimation results somewhat differ from findings in previous studies on Vietnam. Swenson et al. (1993, 1995), Anh (1995) and Nguyen-Dinh and Feeny (1999) find that basic education plays a dominant role in reducing child mortality rate, but that the extra effect of more education is small. In section 5.2 we will

---

<sup>7</sup>It should be noted that in the absence of a simultaneous model that explains both child mortality and birth spacing, the effect might be biased. The papers by Makepeace and Pal (2008) and Bhalotra and Van Soest (2008), both based on data from India, are unequivocal on the direction and magnitude of the bias.

examine gender patterns in the role of parental education in more detail.

The household asset score is an important predictor of child mortality. For children in the lowest asset score quintile the mortality risk is more than twice as large as in the highest quintile.

Ethnicity has a significant effect on child mortality risk. The probability that a Vietnamese child dies is about 20% smaller than the probability for a child from an ethnic minority. Most of the ethnic minorities live in rural, remote and disadvantaged areas, and face less favorable economic conditions than their Vietnamese peers, even conditional on residence, education and other covariates.

### *Regional effects*

The raw data showed that children living in rural areas face a 77% higher mortality risk compared to urban areas. All seven regional variables in the model are insignificant, however. This indicates that urban-rural gap is fully attributable to differences in observable characteristics, in particular parental education and household asset score.

## **5.1 A closer look at gender patterns**

To better understand the gender difference in child mortality we have estimated the model separately for boys and girls; see table 6. We present the no-frailty results as the coefficients on the explanatory variables are very similar between the three versions of the model. For convenience we repeat the results for the total sample from table 4. A likelihood ratio test shows that the sets of estimates obtained for the two genders are statistically different ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Notably different effects for boys and girls are found for education. First, a low education level of the mother increases mortality risk, but the effect is significant for boys only. Conversely, a low education level of the father increases mortality risk as well, but the effect is significant for girls only.

The pattern becomes even more subtle when we consider the effects of high parental education. The coefficient of the mother having a high education is negative for girls, but insignificant. The coefficients on the father having a high education is negative for both boys and girls, but significant for boys only. The latter effect is also very large, a reduction by 50%. Summarizing the eight coefficients representing the various gender specific interactions, we conclude that boy mortality is more sensitive to parents' education levels than girls mortality. In addition, a high education level of the father is especially protective for boys. We also find that a high birth order has a large positive effect on mortality for boys but not for girls. On the other hand, having a mother aged 35 or above at birth has a large positive effect on girl mortality, but not on boy mortality.

One can think of several explanations for these patterns. The more harmful effect of a mother's illiteracy for boys could be related to a stronger bond between mothers and daughters versus mothers and sons. Some evidence of the bonding difference is suggested by the shorter breast-feeding duration for boys; see Pham (2009). Education might induce mothers towards more equality in treating daughters and sons. Huong et al. (2006) report on the basis of verbal autopsy information that traffic accidents and drowning are leading causes of death of male children and adults. Fathers' education can contribute to awareness and vigilance regarding these risks, and more effectively so when fathers have stronger bonds with sons and are more authoritative than mothers. Finally, several studies report that boys are more susceptible to diarrhea and respiratory infection; see e.g. Adair (1993), Cebu Study Team (1992), and Pham (2009). These diseases are sometimes a precursor to death.<sup>8</sup> As noted by Merli (1998) the larger boy

---

<sup>8</sup>The Vietnam DHS data in principle also allows for an analysis of morbidity. A difficulty - besides a smaller sample size - is a lack of instrumental variables to control for the endogeneity of health inputs, like vaccinations and the use of contraceptives. As a consequence, questions about the effectiveness of programs like PFHP remain difficult to answer. The best approach with respect to future programs is to have them preceded by

mortality could have resulted from war-related violence. Again, education is likely to mitigate the effects of such detrimental circumstances.

As a robustness check, we have reestimated the models using more recent cohorts only, dropping from the sample children born before 1986. The estimated coefficients only change slightly, suggesting that possible biases resulting from recollection error and sample non-representitiveness are small.

## 6 Conclusion

Scholars in various disciplines have documented the relatively low child mortality levels in Vietnam, as well as its strong decrease during the past decades. Large urban-rural differences have been reported as well.

Focusing on gender differences in mortality patterns, we find that Vietnam conforms to the usual pattern found almost anywhere else in the world (contrary to several other Asian countries): excess boy mortality. We also found other gender patterns that have not been the focus of research in the literature so far. The mortality rate of boys appears to be more sensitive to parents' education levels than the mortality rate of girls. A high education level of the father is particularly protective for boys. We have argued that gender specific patterns of social interactions within the family could be a part of the explanation. Additional data, preferably with information on the intrahousehold allocation of time and other resources, is a prerequisite for moving from suggested explanations to firm answers.

---

small-scale pilots in which program communities or provinces are randomly selected. Such a procedure allows for a sound scientific evaluation of program effectiveness, and thus for an equitable allocation of resources such that the living conditions of the most vulnerable children are improved.

## References

- Abbring, J. and van den Berg, G. (2007). The unobserved heterogeneity distribution in duration analysis, *Biometrika* vol. 94, 87-99.
- Adair, L., Vanderslice, J. and Zohoori, N. (1993). *Urban Ecology and Health in the Third World*, Cambridge University Press, chapter Urban-rural differences in growth and Diarrhoeal morbidity of Filipino infants, pp. 75-98.
- Anh, D. (1995). Child survival in Vietnam: An investigation of quality of life. paper presented at the 1995 American Population Association Annual Meeting, San Francisco.
- Bélanger, D., T.H.O. Khuat, J. Liu, T.t. Le, and V.t. Pham (2003). Are sex ratios at birth increasing in Vietnam?, *Population*, vol. 58(2), pp. 231-250.
- Bhalotra, S. and A. van Soest (2008). Birth-spacing, fertility and neonatal mortality in India: Dynamics, frailty, and fecundity, *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 143(2), pages 274-290.
- Boerma, J. T. and Bicego, G. T. (1992). Preceding birth intervals and child survival: Searching pathways of influence, *Studies in Family Planning*, vol. 23(4), pp. 243-256.
- Caldwell, J. and McDonald, P. (1982). Influence of maternal education on infant and child mortality: Levels and Causes, *Health Policy and Education*, vol. 2, pp. 251-267.
- Cebu Study Team (1992). A child health production function estimated from longitudinal data, *Journal of Development Economics* 38(2): 323-351.

Clayton, D. G. (1978). A model for association in bivariate life tables and its application in epidemiological studies of familial tendency in chronic disease incidence, *Biometrika*, vol. 65(1), pp. 141-151.

Cochrane, S., Leslie, J. and O'Hara, D. (1982). Parental education and child health: Intracountry evidence, *Health Policy and Education*, vol. 2, pp. 213-250.

Dao, L. H. (2006). Mortality in transitional Vietnam, Working Paper Umea University, Sweden.

Goodkind, D. (1995). Rising gender inequality in Vietnam since reunification, *Pacific Affairs*, vol. 68(3), pp. 342-359.

Greene, W. (2003). *Econometrics analysis*, fifth ed., Prentice Hall, Pearson Education, Inc.

Guilkey, D. K. and Riphahn, R. T. (1998). The determinants of child mortality in the Philippines: estimation of a structural model, *Journal of Development Economics*, vol. 56, pp. 281-305.

Guo, G. (1993). Use of Sibling Data to Estimate Family Mortality Effects in Guatemala, *Demography*, vol. 30(1), pp. 15-32.

Guo, G. and Rodriguez, G. (1992). Estimating a Multivariate Proportional Hazards Model for Clustered Data Using the EM Algorithm, with an Application to Child Survival in Guatemala, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 87(420), pp. 969-976.

Gutierrez, R. G. (2002). Parametric frailty and shared frailty survival models, *Stata Journal*, vol. 2(1), pp. 22-44.

Gutierrez, R. G., Carter, S. and Drukker, D. M. (2001). On boundary-value likelihood-ratio tests, *Stata Statistical Bulletin*, vol. 10(60), pp. 15-18.

Hobcraft, J., McDonald, J. W. and Rutstein, S. (1983). Child-spacing effects on infant and early child mortality, *Population Index*, vol. 49(4), pp. 585-618.

Hobcraft, J., McDonald, J. W. and Rutstein, S. (1984). Socio-economic factors in infant and child mortality: A cross-national comparison, *Population Studies*, vol. 38(2), pp. 193-223.

Hobcraft, J., McDonald, J. W. and Rutstein, S. (1985). Demographic determinants of infant and early child mortality: A comparative analysis, *Population Studies*, vol. 39(3), pp. 363-385.

Horton, S. (1988). Birth order and child nutritional status: Evidence from The Philippines, *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, vol. 36(2), pp. 341-354.

Huong, D. L., Minh, H. V., Janlert, U., Van, D. D. and Byass, P. (2006). Socio-economic status inequality and major causes of death in adults: A 5-year follow-up study in rural Vietnam, *Public Health*, vol. 120(6), pp. 497-504.

Lawn, J. E., Cousens, S. and Zupan, J. (2005). 4 million neonatal deaths: When? Where? Why?, *Lancet*, vol. 365, pp. 891-900.

Majumder, A. K. and Islam, S. M. S. (1993). Socioeconomic and environmental determinants of child survival in Bangladesh, *Journal of Biosocial Science*, vol. 25, pp. 311-318.

Makepeace, G. and Pal, S. (2008). Understanding the effects of siblings on child mortality: evidence from India, *Journal of Population Economics*, vol. 21, pp. 877-902.

Merli, M. (1998). Mortality in vietnam, 1979-1989, *Demography* 35.

Mosley, W. and Chen, L. (1984). An analytical framework for the study of child survival in developing countries, *Population and Development Review*, vol. 10 (Supplement: Child survival: Strategies for research).

Nath, D. C., Land, K. C. and Singh, K. K. (1994). Birth spacing, breast-feeding, and early child mortality in a traditional Indian society: A hazards model analysis, *Social Biology*, vol. 41(4), pp. 168-180.

Nguyen-Dinh, H. and Feeny, D. H. (1999). Are parental characteristics important for child survival? The case of Vietnam, *Pacific Economic Review*, vol. 4(1), 1-29.

O'Donnell, O., Nicolas, A. L. and Doorslaer, E. V. (2009). Growing richer and taller: Explaining change in the distribution of child nutritional status during Vietnam's economic boom, *Journal of Development Economics*, vol. 88(1), pp. 45-58.

Omariba, D. W. R., Beaujot, R. and Rajulton, F. (2007). Determinants

of infant and child mortality in Kenya: An analysis controlling for frailty effects, *Population Research and Policy Review*, vol. 26(3), pp. 299-321.

Palloni, A. and Millman, S. (1986). Effects of inter-birth intervals and breast-feeding on infant and early childhood mortality, *Population Studies*, vol. 40(2), pp. 215-236.

Pham, L. T. (2009). *Determinants of child health and contraceptive use in Vietnam; A microeconomic analysis*, PhD thesis, University of Groningen.

Poel, E. V. D., Donnell, O. O. and Doorslaer, E. V. (2009). What explains the rural-urban gap in infant mortality - Household or Community characteristics?, *Demography*, vol. 46, pp. 827-850.

Rutstein, S.O. and Johnson, K. (2004), The DHS wealth index, *DHS Comparative Reports 6*, Calverton, Maryland: ORC Macro.

Swenson, I. E., Thang, N. M., San, P. B., Nhan, V. N. and Man, V. D. (1993). Factors influencing infant mortality in Vietnam, *Journal of Biosocial Science*, vol. 25, pp. 285-302.

Swenson, I. E., Thang, N. M., San, P. B., Nhan, V. N. and Man, V. D. (1995). Early childhood survivorship in Vietnam, *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 98, pp. 204-208.

Trussell, J. and Hammerslough, C. (1983). A hazards-model analysis of the covariates of infant and child mortality in Sri Lanka, *Demography*, vol. 20, pp. 1-26.

van der Klaauw, B. and Wang, L. (2011). Child mortality in rural India, *Journal of Population Economics*, vol. 24, pp. 601-628

Vaupel, J. W., Manton, K. G. and Stallard, E. (1979). The impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality, *Demography*, vol. 16(3), pp. 439-454.

Wagstaf, A. and Nga, N. N. (2002). Poverty and child survival prospects of Vietnamese children under Doi Moi, *Policy Research Working Paper*, World Bank.

Figure 1: Time line of relevant events during the transitional period






Figure 2: The time trend of child mortality rate



Source: VNDHS, 1997 and 2002

Figure 3: Rural-urban child mortality rate ratios; by gender



Source: VNDHS, 1997 and 2002

Figure 4: **Variation of hazard rate over survival time**




Table 1: Vietnamese context in comparative health indicators in 1995 and 2000

Indicators	Vietnam		Indonesia		Bangladesh		India		China	
	1995	2000	1995	2000	1995	2000	1995	2000	1995	2000
Population (millions)	73	78	193	206	126	139	932	1,016	1,205	1,263
GNI per capita (current USD)	250	390	1,010	590	310	360	380	450	530	930
Adult literacy rate (% age 15 and above)	92.1	90.3	83.7	83.4	38	49	53	61	80.8	90.9
Immunization coverage (% of children aged 12-23 months)	95	97	63	72	79	76	72	52	80	85
Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	32	23	48	36	83	66	74	66	37	30
Under-5 mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	44	30	66	48	120	90	102	89	44	37
Life expectancy at birth (years)	67	69	64	66	58	61	61	63	69	70
Total fertility rate (births per woman)	2.7	1.9	2.7	2.4	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.1	1.9	1.9

Source: World Development Indicators database, World Bank, 2006 and CIA World Factbook, 2002.

**Table 2: Distribution of mothers by number of births and deaths**

Number of births	Number of deaths								Total
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	2,242	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,267
2	3,397	105	4	0	0	0	0	0	3,506
3	1,890	254	14	1	0	0	0	0	2,159
4	1,019	272	32	2	0	0	0	0	1,325
5	454	207	47	9	0	0	0	0	717
6	225	117	46	8	0	1	0	0	397
7	79	45	35	5	2	1	0	0	167
8	38	39	20	6	2	0	0	1	106
9	16	17	8	7	4	0	0	0	52
10	8	6	5	4	3	1	0	0	27
11	2	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	10
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	9,370	1,091	214	42	12	3	1	1	10,734

Table 3: Definition and descriptive statistics of variables

<i>Variable</i>	Observation	Mean	Std.Dev	Min	Max
Age at death or censor (in months)*	29,900	135.246	84.696	0.03	438
Child mortality	29,900	0.058	0.233	0	1
Birth characteristics					
First birth	29,900	0.361	0.48	0	1
High birth order (> 4)	29,900	0.098	0.297	0	1
Short preceding birth interval	29,900	0.166	0.372	0	1
Child is male	29,900	0.519	0.500	0	1
Fertility behaviour					
Age at first birth*	29,900	21.60	3.33	12	43
Age at birth is less than 18	29,900	0.021	0.144	0	1
Age at birth is greater than 35	29,900	0.042	0.200	0	1
Socio-economic characteristics					
Mother has no education	29,900	0.082	0.274	0	1
Mother has primary or secondary education**	29,900	0.899	0.301	0	1
Mother has high education	29,900	0.019	0.136	0	1
Father has no education	29,854	0.045	0.207	0	1
Father has primary or secondary education**	29,854	0.922	0.268	0	1
Father has high education	29,854	0.033	0.179	0	1
Rural areas	29,900	0.816	0.388	0	1
Vietnamese ethnicity	29,900	0.837	0.370	0	1
Lowest asset score group**	29,900	0.221	0.415	0	1
Second asset score group	29,900	0.196	0.397	0	1
Middle asset score group	29,900	0.197	0.398	0	1
Fourth asset score group	29,900	0.200	0.400	0	1
Highest asset score group	29,900	0.187	0.390	0	1
Regions					
Northern Uplands	29,900	0.197	0.398	0	1
Red River Delta	29,900	0.171	0.376	0	1
Northern Central	29,900	0.152	0.359	0	1
Central Coast	29,900	0.106	0.308	0	1
Central Highlands	29,900	0.042	0.201	0	1
Southeast	29,900	0.105	0.306	0	1
Mekong River Delta**	29,900	0.228	0.419	0	1
Calendar time trend					
Born before 1976**	29,900	0.048	0.214	0	1
Born between 1976 and 1986	29,900	0.382	0.486	0	1
Born between 1986 and 1989	29,900	0.146	0.353	0	1
Born between 1989 and 1993	29,900	0.200	0.400	0	1
Born between 1993 and 1996	29,900	0.122	0.328	0	1
Born after 1996	29,900	0.101	0.302	0	1

\*\* Reference group

Table 4: The piecewise constant exponential model

Variable	No-frailty model		Frailty model		Frailty model with CFE	
	Coefficient	s.e.	Coefficient	s.e.	Coefficient	s.e.
Survival time intervals						
Month 0 - 1	5.653***	0.074	5.620***	0.074	5.475***	0.074
Month 1 - 6	2.753***	0.101	2.728***	0.102	2.727***	0.102
Month 6 - 12	2.200***	0.107	2.178***	0.107	2.177***	0.107
Month 12 - 24	1.502***	0.108	1.485***	0.108	1.485***	0.108
Month 24 - 60	1.232***	0.087	1.220***	0.087	1.220***	0.087
Birth characteristics						
First birth	0.300***	0.061	0.308***	0.062	0.298***	0.062
High birth order (> 4)	0.180**	0.083	0.101	0.086	0.097	0.086
Short preceding birth interval	0.624***	0.062	0.583***	0.064	0.577***	0.064
Child is male	0.260***	0.049	0.266***	0.050	0.263***	0.050
Fertility behaviour						
Age at first birth	-0.042***	0.016	-0.045***	0.017	-0.027**	0.009
Age at birth is less than 18	0.323**	0.128	0.346***	0.132	0.389***	0.132
Age at birth is greater than 35	0.233	0.144	0.272*	0.147	0.284*	0.147
Socio-economic characteristics						
Mother has no education	0.220***	0.082	0.244***	0.094	0.313***	0.096
Mother has high education	-0.277	0.323	-0.283	0.330	-0.280	0.331
Father has no education	0.183*	0.102	0.155	0.118	0.225*	0.118
Father has high education	-0.471**	0.230	-0.462*	0.237	-0.480**	0.238
Rural areas	0.096	0.090	0.120	0.095	-	-
Vietnamese ethnicity	-0.251***	0.073	-0.247***	0.080	-0.241**	0.101
Second asset score group	-0.129*	0.072	-0.123	0.080	-0.140*	0.082
Middle asset score group	-0.373***	0.082	-0.380***	0.090	-0.404***	0.094
Fourth asset score group	-0.350***	0.086	-0.347***	0.093	-0.407***	0.098
Highest asset score group	-0.572***	0.113	-0.566***	0.120	-0.669***	0.125
Regions						
Northern Uplands	-0.066	0.080	-0.054	0.086	-	-
Red River Delta	-0.134	0.091	-0.155	0.097	-	-
Northern Central	0.037	0.081	0.028	0.088	-	-
Central Coast	0.151*	0.089	0.119	0.098	-	-
Central Highlands	-0.062	0.133	-0.066	0.146	-	-
Southeast	-0.118	0.106	-0.124	0.113	-	-
Calendar time trend						
Born between 1976 and 1986	-0.183**	0.089	-0.178*	0.094	-0.182*	0.094
Born between 1986 and 1989	-0.298***	0.105	-0.308***	0.110	-0.311***	0.110
Born between 1989 and 1993	-0.676***	0.107	-0.679***	0.112	-0.686***	0.112
Born between 1993 and 1996	-0.724***	0.124	-0.733***	0.129	-0.731***	0.129
Born after 1996	-1.089***	0.153	-1.096***	0.158	-1.085***	0.158
Constant	-8.436***	0.231	-8.379***	0.248	-7.442***	0.534
$\sigma^2$			0.540***	0.093	0.335***	0.079
<hr/>						
Pr > $\bar{\chi}_{01}^2$			0.000		0.000	
Number of observation	165,139		165,139		165,139	
Number of children	29,854		29,854		29,854	
Log-likelihood	-10,482		-10,454		-10,315	
AIC	21,034		20,980		21,095	
Pr > $\chi^2$	0.0000		0.0000		0.0000	

Note: s.e.: standard error; and \*, \*\* and \*\*\* indicate significant level at 10%, 5% and 1%, respectively.

CFE: community fixed effects

**Table 5: Proportional effect of calendar time and survival time on hazard rate**

Calendar time		Survival time	
Child born before 1976	1	Child aged within 1 month	18.021
Born between 1976 and 1986	0.837	Aged between 1 and 6 months	1
Born between 1986 and 1989	0.735	Aged between 6 and 12 months	0.577
Born between 1989 and 1993	0.507	Aged between 12 and 24 months	0.289
Born between 1993 and 1996	0.480	Aged between 24 and 60 months	0.221
Born after 1996	0.334	Aged greater than 60 months	0.065

Table 6: Estimation results by gender

Variable	Total sample		Boys		Girls	
	Coefficient	s.e.	Coefficient	s.e.	Coefficient	s.e.
Survival time intervals						
Month 0 - 1	5.653***	0.074	5.724***	0.098	5.554***	0.111
Month 1 - 6	2.753***	0.101	2.780***	0.135	2.721***	0.154
Month 6 - 12	2.200***	0.107	2.100***	0.148	2.316***	0.155
Month 12 - 24	1.502***	0.108	1.502***	0.144	1.505***	0.162
Month 24 - 60	1.232***	0.087	1.275***	0.115	1.178***	0.132
Birth characteristics						
First birth	0.300***	0.061	0.276***	0.081	0.329***	0.095
High birth order (> 4)	0.180**	0.083	0.297**	0.105	0.037	0.134
Short preceding birth interval	0.624***	0.062	0.571***	0.082	0.690***	0.095
Child is male	0.260***	0.049	-	-	-	-
Fertility behaviour						
Age at first birth	-0.042***	0.016	-0.038*	0.021	-0.044*	0.024
Age at birth is less than 18	0.323**	0.128	0.304*	0.170	0.336*	0.196
Age at birth is greater than 35	0.233	0.144	-0.043	0.203	0.542***	0.206
Socio-economic characteristics						
Mother has no education	0.220***	0.082	0.241**	0.107	0.194	0.129
Mother has high education	-0.277	0.323	0.117	0.384	-0.921	0.603
Father has no education	0.183*	0.102	0.056	0.140	0.334**	0.151
Father has high education	-0.471**	0.230	-0.712**	0.329	-0.205	0.321
Rural areas	0.096	0.090	0.185	0.121	-0.017	0.134
Vietnamese ethnicity	-0.251***	0.073	-0.254***	0.096	-0.247**	0.113
Second asset score group	-0.129*	0.072	-0.150	0.095	-0.098	0.112
Middle asset score group	-0.373***	0.082	-0.309***	0.106	-0.468***	0.131
Fourth asset score group	-0.350***	0.086	-0.408***	0.115	-0.267**	0.132
Highest asset score group	-0.572***	0.113	-0.572***	0.150	-0.552***	0.172
Regions						
Northern Uplands	-0.066	0.080	-0.090	0.106	-0.024	0.122
Red River Delta	-0.134	0.091	-0.14	0.121	-0.132	0.139
Northern Central	0.037	0.081	0.059	0.106	0.018	0.125
Central Coast	0.151*	0.089	0.151	0.117	0.152	0.136
Central Highlands	-0.062	0.133	0.100	0.165	-0.330	0.228
Southeast	-0.118	0.106	-0.059	0.139	-0.211	0.163
Calendar time trend						
Born between 1976 and 1986	-0.183**	0.089	-0.280**	0.116	-0.056	0.141
Born between 1986 and 1989	-0.298***	0.105	-0.321**	0.135	-0.270*	0.166
Born between 1989 and 1993	-0.676***	0.107	-0.580***	0.135	-0.847***	0.175
Born between 1993 and 1996	-0.724***	0.124	-0.741***	0.160	-0.705***	0.196
Born after 1996	-1.089***	0.153	-1.240***	0.209	-0.883***	0.230
Constant	-8.436***	0.231	-8.157***	0.304	-8.470***	0.352
Number of observation						
	165,139		85,339		79,800	
Number of children						
	29,854		15,490		14,364	
Log-likelihood						
	-10,545		-5,982		-4,482	
AIC						
	21,146		12,031		9,032	
Pr > $\chi^2$						
	0.0000		0.0000		0.0000	